

00:00:00:24 - 00:00:29:20

Unknown

This issue specific caring. Welcome back. Can I just confirm that teams is is working and that the live stream is has recommenced. Thank you very much. And just before we then go on to the next section of the agenda, which is the ecology and HRA side of matters and just hopefully for a bit of further assistance on

00:00:29:20 - 00:00:54:48

Unknown

the piling question, I was revisiting my notes over the lunch break and within your noise chapter table one makes reference to again non impact piling and that seems to be what's been assessed for for noise. So this seems to be a common theme through but it's then again how that is committed to unsecured assuming that's where we're

00:00:54:48 - 00:01:38:06

Unknown

going. So hopefully that's just a helpful, helpful additional piece of documentation. So in terms of biodiversity net gain. Two questions really here for the applicant. Can you provide an update on the current position in respect of the anticipated level of biodiversity net gain that is likely to be achieved in light of the review of use of best

00:01:38:07 - 00:01:59:34

Unknown

and most versatile agricultural land? And then the second point in doing so, respond to the concerns identified in the advice from natural England about their desire and advice to us that there should be a commitment to 10% biodiversity net gain.

00:02:01:49 - 00:02:23:21

Unknown

Sir Kevin Murphy on behalf of the applicants. We have with us Sarah Birtley, who will answer questions around biodiversity net gain. And if we need to move on to the best and most versatile land issue, we have two agricultural experts who will be on to come in remotely, and that's Bill Crookes and Dr. Kirsty Charles.

00:02:23:48 - 00:02:36:28

Unknown

So we can bring them in as required. But I think initially it would be for for Sarah Bartley to deal with. And then when we move on to the HRA, I'd just like to introduce they can introduce themselves in greater length later.

00:02:36:28 - 00:02:54:48

Unknown

But Andrew Coates from erm look after HRA related issues from the ecology perspective and Dr. Chris Hazel Marshall will talk about air quality impacts and I think it's important to get that air quality context on that first rather than launching straight into the HRA itself.

00:02:55:37 - 00:03:13:08

Unknown

Because Chris will be able to talk about the actual approach, the assessments and how it is a worst case approach, conservatism and so on. So thank you. Thank you. Sarah Bartley, principal ecologist, speaking on behalf of the applicant.

00:03:14:00 - 00:03:28:23

Unknown

Just to give a bit of background on the biodiversity net gain and an update on where we are with it at the moment. So the Ecology and Nature Conservation Chapter App 058 included an assessment of biodiversity net gain.

00:03:28:41 - 00:03:48:43

Unknown

This was undertaken using the DEFRA metric 3.0, which is presented in Appendix I of the chapter. The National Policy on biodiversity net gain as set out in the Environment Act 2021, will require development to deliver at least a 10% net gain in biodiversity.

00:03:49:23 - 00:04:07:16

Unknown

Although this policy is not mandatory for nationally significant infrastructure projects, the project has been designed to meet with this target. Considering its size and the design principles which reflect a commitment to respect, restore and promote biodiversity and the ecology of local terrestrial ecosystems.

00:04:08:39 - 00:04:36:08

Unknown

The Biodiversity Net Gain assessment itself uses information on baseline and proposed habitats, hedgerows and watercourses to calculate the change in biodiversity units for each of these three categories individually. This is done by inputting Habitat to Hedgerow or multicas parcels or lends into the Microsoft Excel metric table and baseline information was collated from initial phase one habitats.

00:04:36:08 - 00:04:59:33

Unknown

Surveys of the site and habitats were converted into the new UK hub classification system. Ready for input into the metric calculator. So inbuilt criteria determine the number of baseline biodiversity units. These include habitat type, area length, distinctiveness, condition, strategic significance and connectivity.

00:05:00:24 - 00:05:23:12

Unknown

Post intervention habitats are scored using the same criteria in addition to the difficulty of creating and restoring a habitat and the time taken to reach the target condition. Specified post intervention habitats are those which will be present on completion of the development, including developed land, soft landscaping and the creation and enhancement of semi-natural habitats.

00:05:24:22 - 00:05:49:36

Unknown

Overall, the assessment demonstrates a positive change in habitat, hydro and watercourse units, all of which exceed 10%. So the precise values for habitat units are an increase of 13.74%. For hydro units, it's an increase of 34.8%. And for our water cost units, it's an increase of 66.59%.

00:05:51:27 - 00:06:12:22

Unknown

It should be noted that the biodiversity net gain assessment in line with the relevant guidance does incorporate all of the land in the application site. So this includes extensive retained areas of arable land to the east of the access road, which will support the flood risk management strategy for the site but will not be altered in terms

00:06:12:22 - 00:06:33:34

Unknown

of the metric calculator habitat classifications. As such, the large areas of retained habitat serve to significantly limit the overall percentage of biodiversity net gain for habitats. For example, if this land was excluded from the calculator, the percentage for habitat gains would be in the region of 35 to 40%.

00:06:34:17 - 00:06:59:13

Unknown

But as we stand, we do still have the over 10% of a 13.74% increase. So enhancements contributing to this positive increase in biodiversity units include the wetland creation within the energy park land, improving the condition of life, sex, trade, hedgerow creation and habitat improvements in the in the Marine Corps land, which is to the far east of

00:06:59:14 - 00:07:28:05

Unknown

the energy park land. These areas are shown within the indicative landscape and biodiversity plans. Reference rep37. The Outline, Landscape and Biodiversity Management and Monitoring Plan reference are EP 2018 covers the 30 year operational management and monitoring of these created and enhanced habitats which contribute towards the biodiversity net gain values.

00:07:29:47 - 00:07:46:29

Unknown

Natural England have confirmed that they are satisfied with the approach and the results of the biodiversity net gain assessment. Currently, biodiversity net gain is secured within the DCO via the landscape on biodiversity management and monitoring plan. Thank you.

00:07:50:38 - 00:08:22:21

Unknown

Do you have the reference for the landscape and biology mitigation or management plan? It's a mouthful, yeah. So that reference is. So the outline landscape and biodiversity management and monitoring plan is are epi 2-018. Thank you. The way I'd read the submissions.

00:08:22:24 - 00:08:49:29

Unknown

The the her. And. Been a movement away from the initial calculation in light of the best and most fertile agricultural land, and what was going to be included were not included in that respect. Can you just clarify for me, is that still the case or have I misunderstood something?

00:08:53:13 - 00:09:12:09

Unknown

Sarah Burley, speaking on behalf of the applicant and the issues surrounding agricultural land, is something that's relatively new and we've brought on new experts to help us with this. As we stand, the biodiversity net gain calculations haven't been officially revised.

00:09:18:06 - 00:09:42:34

Unknown

Sorry. Sarah Price on behalf of the applicant, just to add some detail to that, we were hoping to have discussions with Natural England regarding precisely how and how these areas are dealt with and which could include crops that potentially also contribute to biodiversity net gain.

00:09:42:35 - 00:09:58:20

Unknown

So at the moment, the position, sir, is, is as my colleague described, and if it changes at all and as a result of these ongoing discussions, we will update the examination and, you know, as soon as soon as that happens.

00:10:02:02 - 00:10:26:06

Unknown

Okay. And. Just try and get my thoughts clear in my mind. The. So as it stands, you're sticking by your calculation of the quantum of biodiversity net gain that could be achieved. But there's a review in respect of best and most best agricultural land.

00:10:26:07 - 00:10:49:13

Unknown

And what contribution or ammunition that might result in that? Yes, absolutely, sir. That's correct. Thank you. Can I just come to North Lincolnshire Council then to hear their views on this topic? Yes. Andrew Taylor for North Lincolnshire Council.

00:10:50:04 - 00:11:12:04

Unknown

Yeah, we fully support the ambition to achieve 10% plus net gain in biodiversity, recognizing that it's not currently mandatory but is likely to become so during the lifetime of the project. Similarly, we haven't considered the effect of changing things to take into account the best or most versatile agricultural land.

00:11:13:05 - 00:11:34:17

Unknown

And we'd been quite encouraged by the proposals on the table which were locally appropriate, sort of taking into account surrounding habitats, wetlands in there alongside the Humber Estuary, expanding semi-natural ancient woodland in abiding by planting broadleaf woodlands and to to to increase the extent of that.

00:11:34:45 - 00:12:00:12

Unknown

And so a move to more agri environmental options would seem a shame. But obviously that's the only way to to square the competing demands. Then then we'd have to take that into account. Thank you. Okay. Um, I just go to the room and then those remotely.

00:12:00:44 - 00:12:30:45

Unknown

Yes, sir. Can I. Can I just wait for you to get a microphone so that. Okay. Thank you. David Connell. Interested individual attendee. If I heard correctly, I may not have double, but if I heard correctly, there was mention of a 30 year management program to ensure that this biodiversity gain is established.

00:12:31:08 - 00:12:56:48

Unknown

And I just wonder if you're in a position to give any more detail of how you anticipate that through a management program being rolled out. Or is that still the subject of review negotiations? Sarah Birtley On behalf of the applicant, I understand that there are discussions with Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust.

00:12:57:03 - 00:13:27:02

Unknown

However, I don't believe there's any agreements in writing just as yet. So on behalf of the applicant, I can add slightly to that. The outline landscape and biodiversity management and monitoring plan referred to earlier will be formulated into the details and landscape and biodiversity management and Monitoring Plan, and that sets out management and maintenance intervention measures and

00:13:27:03 - 00:13:47:18

Unknown

monitoring, annual monitoring, five year substantive monitoring throughout the 30 year lifetime. So that there is a document in existence at the moment which has some information. There will be a future document that will have a lot more detail that the future document probably sets out things like roles and responsibilities, staffing, which organizations might be participating and the

00:13:47:18 - 00:14:17:38

Unknown

like. Thank you. If I can, then come on to the next point. With regard to. Great crested Newt and the. Clarity in light of natural England's comment in their representation whether any progress has been made in discussions with natural England about the.

00:14:18:39 - 00:14:45:21

Unknown

Suitability of the surveys you've been able to undertake in light of absence of information in not being able to access ponds 28 to 30. Sarah Bentley speaking on behalf of the applicant. So ponds 28 to 30, these farms are located close to the eastern edge of the energy park, land on land just outside the outer limits and

00:14:45:21 - 00:15:09:13

Unknown

close to the new wrinkle land, which is proposed for biodiversity and Huntsman requests to access these pond ponds for survey work repeatedly denied. However, it hasn't posed a limitation for the overall assessment of great crested news within this part of the project, primarily because the ponds are located over 400 meters from the energy park development at the

00:15:09:14 - 00:15:28:02

Unknown

closest point. And the intervening terrestrial habitat comprises arable fields which great crested news are unlikely to cross at such a distance. As such, assuming great crested newts are present, the effect on them posed by the development within the energy park remains not significant.

00:15:29:42 - 00:16:04:23

Unknown

And we have received some correspondence from Natural England today actually confirming that they are satisfied with the responses relating to great crested news. So we see those matters. Matters are settled. Obviously to date we haven't seen this statement of common ground between yourselves and natural England.

00:16:05:06 - 00:16:23:22

Unknown

Is that something we will see by the next deadline? Anecdotes on behalf of the applicant. Yes, this is something where actually I was going to come on to. We are updating the the draft of the statement of Common Ground at present.

00:16:23:35 - 00:16:38:35

Unknown

And we are in discussions with Natural England about a continuing update of that. And yes, we hope to have an update of that. During during the course of February, just maybe not for the very next deadline of the seventh, but.

00:16:41:26 - 00:16:58:08

Unknown

I know it was later on in the agenda. It's just the reference to the correspondence with Natural England that just prompted me because one of the concerns we have from our side of the table, if you like, is that we haven't seen any draft of that yet.

00:16:58:47 - 00:17:24:49

Unknown

And equally, a lot of your responses to our questions and the issues raised by natural England, we haven't really seen a response to their relevant representation from your side. So I think if we're not going to see the statement of Common Ground first draft by deadline four, can I make a request that you have to provide as

00:17:24:49 - 00:17:41:03

Unknown

a response to that relevant representation, even if you say, you know, discussions are ongoing for various. Yes, but would be helpful to understand your position relative to their table of concerns. We're working out we're working on a draft.

00:17:41:03 - 00:17:56:10

Unknown

We have a draft that's there at the moment. And so we are updating that. So you will be able to see a draft of that. So I was thinking more final version of the statement of Common Ground as we have some further discussions to have with natural England on some of the points, but we could certainly forward

00:17:56:11 - 00:18:09:21

Unknown

on a draft for even for the next deadline if that's it. I'm so sorry. Just I just to add to my colleague's comments on that, there is a very substantive draft now and we will provide it to the examination.

00:18:09:21 - 00:18:38:01

Unknown

A deadline for essentially has gone to natural England. They've commented it's come back it's it's pretty well drafted now so we can submit that to you yourselves as soon as you would like. Okay. Thank you. Just see if any other parties has any issues or questions with regard to great crested newts.

00:18:42:20 - 00:19:03:42

Unknown

All right. Thank you. Move on, then, to. I think we've probably got the issue with the best and most focused on agricultural land, which was the next topic. But again, are we likely to? Get your information by deadline for on that.

00:19:04:08 - 00:19:22:22

Unknown

Is that a timetable that you're still going to be able to achieve? Sir Kevin Murphy. On behalf of the applicants, I think we'll endeavor to produce something for deadline four, but our plan is to produce a fairly substantive assessment of the agricultural land issue.

00:19:22:22 - 00:19:33:06

Unknown

So that may it may be the following deadline, but perhaps in the meantime, have one of my colleagues, Bill Crookes, could give an overview of where we are at the moment, just to give some comfort that it's getting the attention it deserves.

00:19:33:12 - 00:20:04:05

Unknown

Thank you. Hello there. Still crooks speaking for the applicant. We can see and hear you. Thank you. Can I just ask that the drawing be brought up of the provisional A.L.S. map? This. So I'm not sure. I can't see that.

00:20:04:22 - 00:20:23:21

Unknown

Is there a drying up? No, not yet, no. I think the A.V. team are just looking to locate which which drawing it is. Okay, I'll introduce that quickly. This is a. A substantive improvement in the information available for the site in terms of agricultural land.

00:20:24:23 - 00:20:41:37

Unknown

This is a result of a survey done by the then government agency MAFF in 1990, and it constitutes, say, a fully accurate ALC classification and for much of the site. I think there's a few areas that are not quite covered.

00:20:42:15 - 00:21:05:36

Unknown

So it's. It's a substantive improvement in understanding of the agricultural land, possibly for the area. It highlights the situation. Sorry, I'm trying to not coming up. Showing the amount of. Best and most versatile land and its distribution these.

00:21:06:14 - 00:21:28:34

Unknown

This particular type of report which is referred to as the post 1998 dataset is or is often used as baseline when we're doing more contemporary assessments. Typically, we would just be looking to do a verification on this type of assessment to ensure that there's been no substantive changes to the land quality since the original survey was done

00:21:29:41 - 00:21:46:48

Unknown

. So in terms of the progress made on understanding the loan capacity for the area, this is a fairly good foundation slate. There's a small so as I understand it, there's a small parcels of land and in the development boundary that are covered with this survey and that would need to be addressed.

00:21:47:12 - 00:22:06:25

Unknown

But it would be a relatively small area requiring intensive HLC survey. But the bulk of the area would be done on an what we call a verification survey. So on low intensity, just to confirm this original survey. I don't have anything else at this stage.

00:22:06:25 - 00:22:25:17

Unknown

Is there any questions? I just wanted to document that you were hoping to be displayed, which is that go glossary, an examination library reference. It does. It's it it's it's under the math system. Let me just bring that up.

00:22:28:07 - 00:22:51:31

Unknown

Yes. Sorry. Sorry to interrupt your colleagues. The server price for the applicant. The plan that we were hoping to display isn't currently in the examination library. It's updated information which will form part of the updated assessment. But it is a public document, which is what my colleague Mr. Crooks is referring to.

00:22:51:38 - 00:23:04:09

Unknown

Unfortunately, there seems to be an issue with the availability of the plan, but hopefully it doesn't it doesn't affect what Mr. Crooks is saying. Oh, it sounds like there's been a development and it might it might now be available.

00:23:05:00 - 00:23:31:23

Unknown

Is that correct? Transferred. Okay. Okay. It's on a different laptop, it seems. I think if it's something that's going to come in to your subsequent submission on dealing with the best and most versatile land that may not be with a deadline for as I understand it, but it'll be helpful.

00:23:31:23 - 00:23:52:14

Unknown

I think in your summary of submissions you can provide is the link to the reference document that you're referring to. So hopefully in the meantime everyone can see the connection. So on behalf of the applicants, there's actually two documents and they've both been referenced by Natural England who have helpfully provided pointed us in the right direction.

00:23:52:15 - 00:24:10:49



Unknown

So one of them covers the large of the application land in terms of the energy park. And the other one just fills in a little gap up to the north of the Flixton industrial estate. So so that they will be fully they already exist somewhere in the document library, I suspect, but they'll be incorporated into the, into

00:24:10:49 - 00:24:34:31

Unknown

the assessment that we provide as if by magic. Bill Crook speaking for the applicant. I see that that drawings come up. So this is a image of the site itself showing the original AOC classification that was done in 1990.

00:24:35:45 - 00:25:00:20

Unknown

Breaking it down into grade one, grade two and grade three and beyond. Okay. So I'm just looking at that just so I can understand the. Watch the red area to the western edge next. The trend is that the train connection or is that something else?

00:25:03:21 - 00:25:22:21

Unknown

At least that's listed as. Nonagricultural land. Kevin Murphy, on behalf of the applicant said that some agricultural buildings apparently yeah it's probably where, probably where we're meeting on Friday I think. And but yeah, I was just trying to orientate myself.

00:25:23:27 - 00:26:13:37

Unknown

Yeah. Okay. Thank you. Come to the council then in terms of whether you've got any issues or concerns about the latest position on best and most versatile agricultural land. Andrew Lowe for North Lincolnshire Council. There's not really anything else to add from our point of view at this point in time.

00:26:13:38 - 00:26:33:03

Unknown

I think we'll we'll wait to see what comes through in terms of the updated assessment and then may wish to comment it on that document once it's with the examination. Thank you. Thank you. So again, I just opened the floor and those on teams, whether there's anyone got any further.

00:26:34:05 - 00:27:07:46

Unknown

Observations or submissions they wish to make in respect of best and most versatile agricultural land. No. Okay. Well, we can move on then to respond. Triple X, I think, is the next item on the agenda. So if I can just introduce Dr. Hazel Marshall, he'll talk about the equality impacts.

00:27:08:45 - 00:27:33:14

Unknown

Obviously, that would be relevant to both Frisbie Warren and other sites that fall within the HRA itself. So. Thank you, Dr. Chris Hayes Marshall, a quality technical director at Yarram, speaking on behalf of the applicant. I'll provide some details on the air quality impact assessment that was undertaken to inform the habitats risk assessment and handover to my

00:27:33:14 - 00:27:54:17

Unknown

colleague Andy Coates, who will talk in more detail about which people weren't and take questions on specifically the impacts on habitats. So the according to Impact Assessment is detailed in the environmental statement. It considers the erect plant backup boilers providing hot water for district heating when earth is offline.

00:27:54:35 - 00:28:12:05

Unknown

Backup generators. Road traffic on new access. Road shipping emissions from vessels on the wharf. And rail emissions for those sections of the rail line within 200 meters of habitats. Specifically, those on the street primary tool for assessing impacts is dispersion modeling.

00:28:12:24 - 00:28:27:26

Unknown

In this case, the eight five model, which is widely recognized for using this type of assessment, and the Adams Roads model which used for the new access road, the Air Quality Impact Assessment, considers the potential impacts of emissions from these sources in the context of the local environment.

00:28:27:47 - 00:28:46:24

Unknown

Considerations include locations of sensitive ecological receptors, baseline ecological receptors. This data, having been obtained for the Air Pollution Information Service APC website, the effect of nearby terrain. Noting that the ERF is in a river valley and there are hills original effects receptors on higher ground.

00:28:46:48 - 00:29:04:49

Unknown

The effect of wind turbines to the north on plume dispersion and local meteorology notes. This was obtained from Doncaster Airport. The requirements for assessing impacts from erect plants are well established, as are requirements for assessing impacts from traffic and transport sources.

00:29:05:22 - 00:29:25:36

Unknown

Guidance includes the Environment Agency for England Modeling Best Practice Habitats, assessments and permitting and guidance from different Institute of Air Quality Management to screen traffic impacts. The DCO application also considers the future needs of the environmental permit. The RF plant is required to meet the emission limits in the waste incineration.

00:29:25:36 - 00:29:43:09

Unknown

Best available techniques. Reference Notes. Bref Notes. The emission limits are combined with design information for the plant provided by the engineers, which provides details such as design, tonnage, stack height, exhaust characteristics, building envelope, spatial location. This data is used to inform the dispersion model.

00:29:45:13 - 00:29:58:14

Unknown

The modeling was undertaken for all of the emissions of interest set out in the Bref note for the EAF and for oxides of nitrogen for backup boilers, backup generators, shipping rail and road traffic. This being a key emission of interest for these sources.

00:29:59:31 - 00:30:14:28

Unknown

In terms of ecology, it is common that more than 95% in the UK baseline is in excess of critical levels and critical loads for more than one parameter. Within the ex-ante impact assessment, there are a number of worst case assumptions.

00:30:15:00 - 00:30:28:09

Unknown

As such, the actual impacts of the operation of the project will be substantially lower than what is presented in the environmental statement. The worst case assumptions do include the modeling assumes the project will operate at the brief emission limit.

00:30:28:28 - 00:30:45:38

Unknown

However, the project's actual emissions will be below breath limits substantially in some cases. Typically, oxides of nitrogen will be around 90% of the limit. This being controlled in the process to limit the amount of ammonia dosing needed, ammonia being used to abate NOx emissions to meet the limit.

00:30:46:21 - 00:31:03:21

Unknown

Sulfur dioxide and ammonia would be typically around 30% of the limit does vary between plants. Ammonia is the most important driver for neutral nitrogen deposition and as such this approach is substantially overstated impacts when considering ammonia critical level and nutrient nitrogen critical lots.

00:31:04:36 - 00:31:22:46

Unknown

The dispersion modeling assumed the ERA facility will operate for 8760 hours per year. In practice, planned maintenance will account for downtime of approximately 10% of the plant lines. As such, long term emissions and therefore annual mean impacts which are the most important for habitat regulation assessment, are overstated.

00:31:24:05 - 00:31:44:46

Unknown

Within the dispersion modeling five years metrological data is used to capture interannual variability in which logic the equality impact assessment is based on the year that produced the highest impacts. However, impacts to ecology over it occur over a timeframe of multiple years and using only the worst case will again overstate the actual impacts.

00:31:46:36 - 00:32:07:21

Unknown

The project is proposed to deliver RDF using ships, trains and road haulage. At the time of preparation of the aircraft impact assessment, the exact modal split is not known. Therefore, the approach needed to be taken whereby each mode was assigned 100% capacity, i.e. the total modal capacity for transportation is 300% of the required total.

00:32:07:45 - 00:32:28:12

Unknown

This therefore overstates the impacts of transportation, noting that close proximity of the ship and rail sources the Humber Estuary Habitats in particular the assessment of impacts on habitats for nutrient nitrogen asset deposition uses critical loads. These are provided as a range and the Equal Impact Assessment utilizes the low range critical loads.

00:32:28:26 - 00:32:46:24

Unknown

Again, this is worst case given that the high range critical loads can reasonably be utilized in the assessment in terms of impacts at sensitive ecological receptors. Equal to Impact Assessment uses a series of screening steps to identify that where there are habitats, where potential for significant impacts cannot be conclusively ruled out.

00:32:47:07 - 00:33:09:38

Unknown

This identified some habitats where impacts cannot be screened out. These will be discussed in more detail by my colleague Andy Coats. If deemed of use within the inquiry schedule, there is an opportunity to undertake an additional assessment step based upon a reasonable operating case, which would provide further detail on the likely actual impacts at ecology sites in

00:33:09:39 - 00:33:38:48

Unknown

contrast to the worst case assessment currently presented. Thank you. Can I just that final point. Is there a commitment to do that? Likely effects assessment and. When would that be done? If there is a commitment to do it and how does that work in light of what we've currently got is a worst case scenario?

00:33:40:16 - 00:33:55:17

Unknown

Because obviously you've gone through a whole series of elements which cumulatively could bring down the outputs quite substantially, potentially. And so how how does that how does that work? Chris Hayes Well, Marshall speaking, I'm part of the applicant.

00:33:56:13 - 00:34:14:47

Unknown

Yes, there is a commitment made to do that. The schedule is to have that delivered by 20th of February. There are ongoing discussions that Andy Coates will talk to you about with Natural England. The intention of this additional assessment at the actual.

00:34:16:00 - 00:34:42:34

Unknown

Impacts of those ecology sites is to inform that discussion. To further assist in excluding sites where no significant impact can be proven through the Equality Impact Assessment and therefore refine the HRA habitats. Risk Assessment. Sorry. Habitats Regulations assessment onto those sites where further works, further investigations mitigation may be appropriate.

00:34:49:29 - 00:35:08:38

Unknown

Okay. Get back on my hobbyhorse. And the timing of the 20th of February. Is that when you're having discussions with natural England or is that when you're hoping to submit to them your latest report or discuss with them the.

00:35:10:38 - 00:35:28:15

Unknown

The more that you're sensitivity testing on limitations. The 20th of February deadline is the intended sorry. Chris Hazel Marshall speaking on part the applicant the deadline of 20th February is intended to be the deadline at which we will produce our report for submission.

00:35:29:15 - 00:35:52:06

Unknown

That can then be discussed with natural England. And that will then potentially have a knock on effect for your HRA assessment. And what then the likely timing of a submission on that? Anecdotes for the applicant. Yes, we we won.

00:35:52:06 - 00:36:10:07

Unknown

We had this updated reasonable operating case. We intend we're in the process of arranging a meeting with natural England, hopefully in the next week or two. And we would actually discuss a lot of the issues that Chris has raised here about these.

00:36:10:07 - 00:36:24:30

Unknown

Look at the of the information we're going to probably expect to get out of that and then we'll agree a timescale for updating the regs. We're conscious that the HRA needs to be back so you can see it and others can see it as well.

00:36:24:30 - 00:36:47:00

Unknown

So the intention is, is to is to update that HRA within two or three weeks at the most after that. You know, after we've done that, that additional modeling work, hence why we're meeting with Natural England now. And we were also hoping that Andrew from North Lakes Council might be able to join us as well perhaps.

00:36:48:48 - 00:37:16:44

Unknown

So we're actually looking to move that on pretty swiftly, so. Okay. Um, that's helpful. Um, can I just ask some specifics then in terms of Ruby Warren, triple as I. And I think in the rep to zero 34 response section 8.7 hopefully my notes correct and.

00:37:18:34 - 00:37:40:14

Unknown

Again to you'd reaffirm the assessment was precautionary and you're agreeing to selecting and achieving specific levels for ammonia to avoid significant effects of the triple as I is I hopefully I've understood that correctly and. Would that also help to reduce deposited nitrogen?

00:37:44:20 - 00:38:09:49

Unknown

Chris Hazel Marshall speaking on behalf of the applicant. Yes, it would be. Deposition of nutrient nitrogen is dominated by ammonia. Therefore, reductions in ammonia will have similarly

large effect on nitrogen deposition. Okay. And so how is that commitment secured within the DCO and the supporting documentation?

00:38:16:03 - 00:38:30:42

Unknown

And he goes above the applicants. That is something we we are discussing. We want to discuss this with natural England in terms of how that firstly what's likely to come out of this revised modeling work and the implications for that.

00:38:32:17 - 00:38:52:07

Unknown

And as my colleague Chris has mentioned there, the ammonia, the changing expected reduction in ammonia would actually have a knock on effect on a lot of other things as well. For some of the sites as a lot of sites where the the you slightly over the the 1% value at the moment and that actually might change as

00:38:52:07 - 00:39:07:09

Unknown

a result of doing this. So some sites that are currently screened in might even be screened out going forward. So there is a. There is an element of change and that could evolve due to nitrogen and other parameters as well as the ammonia because it's all linked.

00:39:07:15 - 00:39:31:29

Unknown

So. So there is the potential then for a positive, significant effect if that's not true? Absolutely, yeah. So those areas where potentially we're over the 1% threshold and therefore regarded as significant, potentially might go below the 1% in some cases that we're hoping that's possible.

00:39:31:29 - 00:39:55:20

Unknown

Yes. And for all others, they will decrease. Much closer to the wall expectation as well. So the key then is how that's secured, isn't it, so that you can deliver on those improvements. Indeed. A dust cloud broke on behalf of the applicant.

00:39:55:20 - 00:40:15:22

Unknown

Just to briefly interject. I think it's recognized in terms of setting limits for ammonia. I think all parties recognize that that is ordinarily dealt with as part of the permit itself. And. And Dr. Chris Hazel Marshall has reference the brief emission limit levels.

00:40:16:13 - 00:40:35:19

Unknown

I think in terms of where we get to with the updated realistic worst case report, if we get below the 1% threshold, then of our position would be that we won't necessarily have to offer reduced ammonia limits in all cases.

00:40:36:14 - 00:40:52:48

Unknown

That isn't ordinarily something that will be controlled by the DCO. It is dealt with pursuant to the permit. Clearly natural. England recognized that the permit will not likely be in place as part of the examination process in the DCO determination.

00:40:54:16 - 00:41:08:49

Unknown

But the same process in terms of the air quality assessment, the HRA has to be performed as part of the detailed permitting and the more granular analysis that you have of emission limits as part of that permit process.

00:41:09:39 - 00:41:28:33

Unknown

But I think until we see the outcome of the updated report, we're probably not in a position to indicate what the applicant's case will be on that point around ammonia levels and setting those limits at this point. No.

00:41:28:33 - 00:41:51:26

Unknown

I mean, that's understood. I'm just trying to make sure I'm correctly joining the dots because I was the environmental permits going to cover a significant part of these elements. But for our examination and for. I report to Secretary of State on HRA and.

00:41:52:43 - 00:42:14:06

Unknown

Effects. The greater the clarity, the better, obviously. And and obviously the the question of adverse effect on the integrity, if there is any. And we need to understand as well as possible. So I guess that's where I have to leave it with you.

00:42:14:31 - 00:42:53:33

Unknown

And, you know, bearing in mind the time frames that we're all working within. I've just come to North Lincolnshire Council and the just in terms of be Warren Tripoli's I think one of the. Did you have anything you wish to add on that, either in terms of what you've heard, but also whether the discussions that have been

00:42:53:33 - 00:43:19:26

Unknown

taking place about mitigation or enhancement for the trip policies, is that progressing? Or maybe that's something that you're not involved with? I don't know, because it's natural. England. Yeah. Andrew Taylor for North Lincolnshire Council. In terms of matters of dispersion modeling and air quality impacts on places and European sites, we tend to defer to natural England on

00:43:19:26 - 00:43:42:41

Unknown

those matters. They've highly technical matters and we don't have the in-house expertise. We do keep a close eye on which people are in places. It's our largest asset, grassland, and most important, a grassland site. So we'll see. We're keen that any impacts are kept as low as possible, but also we're conscious that the site is already in

00:43:42:42 - 00:44:02:34

Unknown

unfavorable, declining condition already. If this application site, if this project weren't to go ahead, there's already, you know, the steelworks and various other. Facilities in place that do

already discharge an impact on the trip place. I so bear that in mind as part of the background picture.

00:44:29:03 - 00:44:47:25

Unknown

And I think the council, again, it would be helpful just to clarify in the responses you've made. Can I just clarify whether you're concerned in terms of how things are drafted at the moment with regard to mitigation being appropriately secured?

00:44:47:47 - 00:45:21:39

Unknown

That seems to be the way it's the response was written to seek clarification on on that. And so we clarify that question specifically about warranty policy. It's more broadly about the mitigation and enhancement for biodiverse biodiversity. I think you'd made reference to Section seven and ten of the environmental statement, and the outline will be amply.

00:45:23:08 - 00:45:40:05

Unknown

Yeah, I think what that was, we look to see that the habitats and designated sites were specifically mentioned in any any requirement as opposed to just species, which I think had been highlighted in the first instance. Thank you.

00:45:40:43 - 00:46:06:09

Unknown

I'll just come back to the applicant, see if there's any further response you would wish to make in light of the council's clarification. Sarah Bentley speaking on behalf of the applicant. And yes, I can confirm that the Camp Construction Environmental Management Plan will cover mitigation for both species and habitats, which includes also the locally designated sites.

00:46:06:26 - 00:47:08:13

Unknown

So that's one way that the mitigation is will be secured. And in terms of the enhancement and we have the landscape and biodiversity management and monitoring plan to secure that. All right. Forgive me. I'm just going through my notes, making sure I've covered everything I need to do.

00:47:08:14 - 00:47:44:18

Unknown

Just bear with me a moment. No, that's fine. Thank you. I can move that on to. And. In terms of the HRA and. Obviously you were advising as to the statement of common ground with natural England is likely to be with us at the next deadline.

00:47:45:19 - 00:48:13:00

Unknown

And there were a number of issues that I think natural England had raised within their representations. If I can just go through those sort of individually. We've touched on one of these already this morning with regard to the potential vibration effects within the River Trent affecting or potentially affecting sea and river lamprey and.

00:48:14:42 - 00:48:34:23

Unknown



To cynics, and this is as much a question to natural England as to you. But I'm. If. Non impact piling is committed to those that overcome the concern of vibration or is there still an ongoing issue that needs to be addressed?

00:48:37:07 - 00:48:52:22

Unknown

Anecdotes on behalf of the applicant. That is very much the position we are saying. If you have the board piling on land, that would not be a significant issue for the lamprey in terms of migration in the river.

00:48:52:37 - 00:49:16:14

Unknown

We have actually just sent some information to natural England earlier this week on this topic. And again, that's something we will pick up with them at the meeting. Thank you. And I think the next point was the potential for cumulative effects on air quality in conjunction with key B2 and key B3.

00:49:18:10 - 00:49:38:41

Unknown

And then the relative stack heights and how that set out. So I just wonder whether you're able to provide any greater clarity on that possible concern. I think all all of the all of the modeling and Chris will be able to confirm this is that the all the modeling has been undertaken at a single state height of

00:49:38:41 - 00:50:05:07

Unknown

120 meters. And that has been used around for the project alone. And obviously that's been taken into the in combination. A As we have, we have assessed the combination effects along with key B2 and key B3. And at the moment there are we have the information that's in the HRA, which shows that some of the the levels

00:50:05:07 - 00:50:21:16

Unknown

are actually just slightly over some of the 1% figures when looked at in in combination. And we are looking at that as part of the. Of the asset the assessment and discussion with natural England and looking at the ammonia levels.

00:50:28:20 - 00:50:51:31

Unknown

So is the intention then that you'll be providing an updated report for the h r a with a quantitative assessment to support the conclusions of no adverse effect on integrity to the throne, and hopefully a more s p and more essay C subject to the to the findings, obviously, of the the the operational case.

00:50:52:20 - 00:51:19:42

Unknown

Yes. That's what we're seeking to provide an updated and updated report with information in. Okay. Thank you. And I think. The only other element that links through to that is whether there is a need to. Do anything further with regard to road traffic and effects on air quality and implications for the Humber Estuary, SHC and Rams

00:51:20:37 - 00:51:44:02

Unknown

, which are also both within 200 meters of the road. So just seek clarification on that. Indeed. And we have actually just yesterday, before yesterday, given a verbal update for natural England on that, that the new access road will in fact, be over 200 meters away from the from the Trent from the Humber Estuary.

00:51:44:31 - 00:51:59:37

Unknown

And so that should take it out of the area of concern for natural England and the stay the road that is actually running adjacent of the current access road will be stopped up. So we should have a new road to is over that 200 meter.

00:52:01:32 - 00:52:45:15

Unknown

Guidelines within natural England's guidance. Thank you. Thank you. I think the only other point I wanted to touch on and. With the concerns that natural England had identified. It's not just the noise impacts, but the and the potential visual disturbance on the Humber Estuary, Ramsar.

00:52:45:37 - 00:53:06:26

Unknown

So again, if you could update me on that. Indeed, one of the things we've actually in the in the draft SOCOG, we have at the moment, we have a data section in there which talks about the height of the embankment along the, the Humber Estuary and on the River Trent, and they are 2 to 3 meters high

00:53:06:40 - 00:53:33:31

Unknown

, which provides screening for the birds on the river. And that was something that natural England have acknowledged and said that was. That should assist in actually avoiding visual disturbance to the birds on the river. Okay. Um, and then I think the next point was, was whether there's any potential loss of functionally linked land associated with the rest

00:53:33:31 - 00:53:58:16

Unknown

of SBA and Ramsar. And there's very little land actually being lost in terms of the sort of functionally linked land that's used by birds from the from the SBA or even from the Ramsar. The areas that are we are actually what we are doing is actually providing natural England with some additional information about noise levels.

00:53:59:21 - 00:54:19:43

Unknown

And we're actually looking at some additional background noise levels at the moment and seeking some comparison of those with the with the predicted noise levels in the area. And. There isn't a huge use of the of the area by the sort of birds from the SPCA.

00:54:22:05 - 00:54:40:13

Unknown

And again, we were updating the SOCOG too, to include details of where some of those birds are using the land and most of the usage where we are finding species such as red shank. And Mallard are in areas that are away from development.

00:54:41:03 - 00:55:01:48

Unknown

They may still be within the actual overall red line boundary, but then in areas that are not going to be developed and often, you know, several hundred meters away from from the actual development itself. So. Thank you. And I don't know the North Lincolnshire have any thing further I would wish to.

00:55:03:25 - 00:55:24:29

Unknown

Sumit and Andrew Tale of North English Council. Nothing really to say that that would concur with our view of bird usage in the area. Thank you. I come then to other interested parties to see if there are any other submissions that they would wish to make on in light of what they've heard this afternoon.

00:55:24:41 - 00:55:49:44

Unknown

On that. Uh, those points. No, I'm not seeing any hands up, so that sucks. Fine. Thank you. Oh, sorry. How do you say? I'm very. Thank you. Only David Connolly interested individual. Only to say really that thank you, because I'm very interested to hear what has been proposed from the ecology point of view.

00:55:50:29 - 00:56:13:07

Unknown

Right. So it's particularly encouraging someone who spends half his life working on a nature reserve like. Thank you. I think then that takes us to item nine as the agenda, which is the review of issues and actions arising.

00:56:13:41 - 00:56:34:30

Unknown

So if I can turn to my left. Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. Yeah. Thank you. I'll go through it if you prefer. No, no. I'll go back. I'll give it again. Yeah. I'll give it. So thank you so much.

00:56:34:30 - 00:56:51:28

Unknown

Intention is just to go back through my what I, what I've written down for the for the whole day. Try and keep it fairly concise and clear and hopefully along the way I'll, I'll probably look mainly to the applicant just to just to see if we're in the same place, if you like.

00:56:54:00 - 00:57:20:42

Unknown

So if we go back to trying to get the right. Item number. Archeology? Yes. Cultural heritage. There was one thing quite early on, I think, was that from the archeological dig work taken to date, there was some further work to be done to update the assessment.

00:57:20:42 - 00:57:41:49

Unknown

I think you said you would. Try and give a date when that would be complete and be reported back. That gives obviously, it gives the NLC enough time to to view it. Is that? Can I just. Yeah. Would you like to just.

00:57:45:05 - 00:58:04:05

Unknown

Sorry. We don't have a date yet. I don't believe so. But I've noted it in action. Okay. And moving on from that. So ultimately that will be a that will be an addendum to the assessment basic. That's about what that would be to that deliverable, if you like.

00:58:06:40 - 00:58:27:49

Unknown

There was obviously a lot discussion around the overarching archeological mitigation strategy and that that was seen as a a key document I think by the North Lincolnshire and English heritage. And this would be, you know, agreed predetermination. I think that's the main point and that certainly came.

00:58:28:20 - 00:58:54:41

Unknown

Then it will become a reference document subsequently for the ecological contract and so on and so forth. That would then also be leading to an amendment to requirement 11. So that would in effect refer to that. Or we expect that would then refer to the overarching mitigation strategy.

00:58:57:35 - 00:59:20:24

Unknown

On a more detailed level. The way I interpreted it, it was supposed to be necessary updates to the OCP, which would basically reflect some of these things that we're doing. And also the detail around what's what was the term that was used, the watching brief for what that would actually be more detail, I think I think I

00:59:20:25 - 00:59:43:04

Unknown

think that was just the general sense there was more detail will be provided in that annex from the one of the annex is in the CCP. Sir Kevin Murphy on behalf of the applicant, I think it's probably in the main body of the OCP, but it says, okay, whatever it was, it sits with you piling.

00:59:43:04 - 00:59:57:48

Unknown

Well, you have got. This has been a recurring feature. I guess we just want this clarity over statements we've made a few times now that if there's a commitment just to do board piling, that is clear and everything else where that's referred to is consistent with that.

00:59:57:48 - 01:00:18:30

Unknown

So we don't get some confusion. I think. Sir Kevin Murphy on behalf of the applicant, yes. Will be updating the vibration and ground excavations. Our Foundations Management Plan part of the code of construction practice. There may also be reference in the construction noise management plan as well.

01:00:19:01 - 01:00:42:33

Unknown

So this reflects our discussions today. Okay. So I think that's part of it. And so it's a bit more moderate still on cultural cultural heritage. Yes, there was there was some discussion, of course, around the the the I think the the district heating network program.

01:00:43:01 - 01:00:57:22

Unknown

At the moment, we've all we've got as a sort of a headline 2 to 3 years if that could be clarified as to, you know, broken down a bit more into for how long would it potentially affect assets.

01:00:58:08 - 01:01:22:46

Unknown

Um, and counsel to go back to your specialist and seek out what guidance they were using to point them into their sort of opinion on the same issue. Really. Yeah. I'm moving on to other areas. I'm just getting through this.

01:01:23:17 - 01:01:50:39

Unknown

I think I've covered most of the things I'm going to press. That's more like just in a second. Make sure. Don't move on yet. Yes, but I was young, just 23. And I think the only other issue I had on the cultural heritage side, and it's it's more of a question really, because it wasn't a it wasn't

01:01:50:39 - 01:02:13:30

Unknown

picked up as a an action point. But one of the responses you gave in revising the code of construction practice was you made reference to potential funds of human remains. And at the moment your DCO doesn't cover human remains, as far as I'm aware.

01:02:14:03 - 01:02:38:22

Unknown

And previous discos have had quite lengthy elements within them to cover the eventuality for human remains being found. So it's more of a pointer really just to consider that. I'm not certain what the implications would be in the event they were found in.

01:02:38:22 - 01:02:51:44

Unknown

That's not them covered. So it's probably worth just checking that over to see whether there anything in addition needs to be added. A clip of the applicant. Yes, sir. We can certainly give that some further consideration. It was.

01:02:52:17 - 01:03:11:24

Unknown

We're aware that it's not specifically covered by the the normal article in the DCO. And having had some initial discussions, it was felt that with the detail that's contained in the ACP, which will be updated even further and that may not be necessary, but we'll we'll revisit that.

01:03:11:24 - 01:03:31:06

Unknown

And as Belton braces, it may be something to contemplate. Thank you. Yes. I film my last an action point under this heading, which was, I think when we were talking about historical landscape character. I think I think you're going to go back to your specialist and respond on that.

01:03:31:21 - 01:03:46:16

Unknown

But by deadline for. Yes, that's correct. Yeah, I've got it. Just a down. Thank you. Great. Okay. So moving on to the next session, which is on design, what I've noted down Kevin's copy on behalf of the applicants.

01:03:46:17 - 01:04:15:10

Unknown

I think there's a hand up in things. Thank you, Kevin. Thank you. Well spotted. Well spotted. Thank you for that. Yes, go ahead, please. Tim Allen from Historic England on the point about human remains. Normally in discos, there's treatment in the archeological, overarching archeological strategy for how human remains would be approached archeologically in an appropriate manner equivalent

01:04:15:10 - 01:04:38:27

Unknown

to what would be achieved under burials at license if the DCO doesn't. As I understand it, this would be one third people's legal to check where a DCO addresses that they obviate the need for burials at license. If the DCO doesn't cover human remains, then.

01:04:39:40 - 01:05:05:31

Unknown

Ukraine does potentially a situation where additional application of the barriers at licenses would need to be made by those concerned with the logical mitigation works or construction. Thank you for that clarification. Anything else to add from applicants. On this note, I should like I'll owe them.

01:05:05:31 - 01:05:33:09

Unknown

I'll press on with design. I can't see more any more hands up so good. I think under design I think that was a fairly, fairly straightforward list that we'd appreciate some, some ongoing review of the wording in the design principles and codes to be more specific, especially around the objectives to explain how the design would be coordinated

01:05:33:09 - 01:05:54:00

Unknown

. If you envisage multiple design champions, which I think you did confirm, that was potentially going to happen. So let us let us know a couple of detail points with whether the design review process would be a public or private process.

01:05:55:48 - 01:06:20:42

Unknown

And how would conflict be resolved in the event there was the design panel and the applicant or the promoter, if you like, had had some differences of opinion and ultimately. The the the detailed arrangements of how the design champion and design panel would work would be covered by the statement of Common Ground with North Lincolnshire.

01:06:23:33 - 01:06:59:11

Unknown

Is there anything you. Anybody else think of anything that's that's been missed off the bat. I'm not seeing any. Yeah. Okay. Yeah. North Lincolnshire. Thank you. So moving on to this afternoon session, biodiversity and so on. The applicant confirmed that they had they were actually had had drafted a statement of common ground with natural England actually that

01:06:59:12 - 01:07:20:49

Unknown

was was a sufficient state of progress to be provided by deadline for a subsequent he will be a key a key focus point. The review of best and most versatile land was it was underway. I'm trying to remember whether you committed to a particular.

01:07:22:46 - 01:07:52:08

Unknown

Date for when that would be delivered. Kevin Murphy On behalf of the Applicants, I think we'll aim for the deadline after next, which is deadline five, sorry, 21st, 21st of February. Thank you. Oh, yes. And and so and I think most of the following discussion.

01:07:52:09 - 01:08:15:00

Unknown

So moving on to designated sites and impacts must be one less site and so on and so forth. You confirmed, I think, that you were doing what I'm going to describe as this likely central case, air quality assessment, and that will be complete by the 22 February.

01:08:18:09 - 01:08:30:41

Unknown

And then that would feed into the the HIV subsequently. And I think you said 2 to 3 weeks, I think was was. So can we can we tie that to the deadlines that we already have? So we can.

01:08:32:47 - 01:09:04:49

Unknown

Make a note of that. Well, somebody ought to respond to that one. Just checking the subsequent March deadline. DEADLINE six is the 20th of March, so that ought to be achievable. I reference that 2 to 3 weeks following the equality report.

01:09:05:03 - 01:09:21:09

Unknown

Yes. Thank you. I think that's that's the thing that's completed what I have noted down. Clearly, those those the statement of common ground on that updated assessment of the HIV assessment that will be quite substantial items that will feed into the examination.

01:09:21:15 - 01:09:39:48

Unknown

So that should cover those areas. I think I just had one other point. The plans that were displayed and went to once live and if you can just provide is the the links to those so that we know where they've come from.

01:09:39:48 - 01:10:09:40

Unknown

As part of your submissions in response today, I'll be helpful. Thank you. Okay. And. I'll. Just than at any other business. Yes. Try, Brooke, on behalf of the applicant. We did note from today's agenda that you were hoping for an update on the status of common ground.

01:10:09:42 - 01:10:25:41

Unknown

We're happy to do that today or tomorrow as it suits you, sir. No, let's let's do it today. Who knows how long tomorrow will be. So we had a good time, so let's do it now. So I'll pass over to Laura Tinker, who's going to give the update.

01:10:28:40 - 01:10:42:27

Unknown

Laura Tanaka on behalf of the applicant to give an update on statements of common ground. I'll just go through each stakeholder in turn to give an update. So North Lincolnshire Council, a draft statement of common ground was submitted at deadline two.

01:10:42:48 - 01:10:59:33

Unknown

Since then, the project has had continued engagement with the Council on the Outstanding Matters and intend on submitting an updated draft design for on the seventh February for the Environment Agency. Address statement of common ground was submitted a deadline to.

01:11:00:12 - 01:11:22:26

Unknown

We're working to address the outstanding matters set out in this draft natural England and we've discussed this in more detail already earlier in the day. But is a high level update just to reiterate. Natural England has reviewed and commented on drafting of common ground and we will be submitting an up to date version of this draft.

01:11:22:27 - 01:11:39:21

Unknown

A deadline for Network Rail. A draft statement of Common Ground was submitted it to July one, and we're working with the stakeholder to address outstanding matters set out in this draft. For National Grid. Again a similar common ground was submitted it did to.

01:11:40:17 - 01:12:00:15

Unknown

We're continuing to engage with them on the matters set out in this. For Scunthorpe and Gainsborough Water Management Board. We submitted a draft statement of Common Ground Atlanta. There is a final version signed by the project, which is currently with the Water Management Board for final sign off on their behalf.

01:12:01:10 - 01:12:22:16

Unknown

So we will be submitting a final version of this once we receive it back from the Water Management Board. Anglian Water and Severn Trent, both stakeholders. We submitted a drafting of common ground at deadline one, so we're working to progress with both stakeholders to address the matters of concern.

01:12:26:02 - 01:12:43:07

Unknown

For Associated British ports, and we've had ongoing engagement with them. We've shared a draft statement of common ground with them for review just recently and hope to submit a draft or even possibly a final statement of common ground at Dubai before depending on any comments coming from this review.

01:12:47:08 - 01:13:08:16



Unknown

National Highways. We have a draft statement of common ground that has been shared with national highways. They're currently reviewing this. ADA Agri Limited. We submitted a statement of common grounds at deadline to and we're working with a degree to address outstanding matters within this.

01:13:12:10 - 01:13:31:13

Unknown

Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust. A draft statement of common ground was submitted at deadline one, and we're working with the stakeholder to finalize this document. And then to note that ongoing discussions regarding particular provisions have been underway with a number of stakeholders.

01:13:31:16 - 01:13:55:17

Unknown

That includes British Steel, Raynham Steel Totem Paints, Cadent Gas, Northern Powergrid and British Telecommunications and Openreach Ltd. Once a position has been reached in regards to protective provisions with these parties will incorporate this into a statement of common ground and with each stakeholder where necessary.

01:13:58:13 - 01:14:14:14

Unknown

We also had calls with Bagnall when limited Humberside Fire and Rescue Authority and UK win. In the diary for week commencing 30th of January to discuss the issues and will begin progressing the statements of common ground for for each of those following those calls.

01:14:16:46 - 01:14:42:44

Unknown

And then just to conclude, we would be prioritizing contact with the remaining stakeholders over the coming weeks. And updated positions will be recorded in the statement of commonality to be submitted at the deadline for. Thank you. I'll just clarify one point you when you were talking about the protective provision, you listed jotun paints.

01:14:42:46 - 01:15:01:14

Unknown

Yes, I have. They're not a statutory undertakings. I'd be surprised if protective provisions. Is it something else that you're dealing with them or have I misunderstood? No, I might I might have just written it down wrong. We have been engaging with them and a site visit has taken place.

01:15:04:33 - 01:15:41:11

Unknown

Okay. So you're in discussion with him to resolve any tensions between them? Yeah. Thank you. No, that's that's helpful. UPDATE Thank you. Okay. I'll just then come back to any other business. Is there any other issue? Anyone would wish to raise before we closed the hearing.

01:15:46:12 - 01:16:09:37

Unknown

No, I'm not seeing any hand. So just a reminder to everyone. Submissions can be submitted by deadline for which is the. And I've forgotten the date is February 7th of February. And as there are no other matters, anyone wishes to raise and close the hearing.

01:16:09:38 - 01:16:14:15

Unknown

And thank you all for your contributions this afternoon and this morning. Thank you.